a. Sample root locus, showing possible design point via gain adjustment (*A*) and desired design point that cannot be met via simple gain adjustment (*B*);
b. responses from poles at *A* and *B*



Figure 9.2 Compensation techniques: a. cascade; b. feedback





Figure 9.3 Pole at *A* is: **a.** on the root locus without compensator; **b.** not on the root locus with compensator pole added; *(figure continues)* 





### c. approximately on the root locus with compensator pole and zero added

Figure 9.3

(continued)

Figure 9.4 Closed-loop system for Example 9.1: a. before compensation; b. after ideal integral compensation



### **Figure 9.5** Root locus for uncompensated system of Figure 9.4(*a*)



### Figure 9.6 Root locus for compensated system of Figure 9.4(b)



Ideal integral compensated system response and the uncompensated system response of Example 9.1



### Figure 9.8 PI controller





a. Type 1 uncompensated system;
b. Type 1 compensated system;
c. compensator pole-zero plot



Root locus:

- a. before lag compensation;
- b. after lag compensation



### **Figure 9.11** Compensated system for Example 9.2



Figure 9.12 Root locus for compensated system of Figure 9.11



### Table 9.1

### Predicted characteristics of uncompensated and lagcompensated systems for Example 9.2

Parameter	Uncompensated	Lag-compensated	
Diant and common star	K	K(s + 0.111)	
Plant and compensator	(s+1)(s+2)(s+10)	$\overline{(s+1)(s+2)(s+10)(s+0.01)}$	
Κ	164.6	158.1	
$K_p$	8.23	87.75	
$e(\infty)$	0.108	0.011	
Dominant second-			
order poles	$-0.694 \pm j3.926$	$-0.678 \pm j3.836$	
Third pole	-11.61	-11.55	
Fourth pole	None	-0.101	
Zero	None	-0.111	

Step responses of uncompensated and lag-compensated systems for Example 9.2



Step responses of the system for Example 9.2 using different lag compensators



Using ideal derivative compensation: **a.** uncompensated; **b.** compensator zero at –2; (figure continues)



### Figure 9.15 (*continued*) c. compensator zero at -3; d. compensator zero at - 4



Uncompensated system and ideal derivative compensation solutions from Table 9.2



# **Table 9.2**Predicted characteristics for the systems of Figure9.15

	Uncompensated	Compensation b	Compensation c	Compensation d
Plant and compensator	$\frac{K}{(s+1)(s+2)(s+5)}$	$\frac{K(s+2)}{(s+1)(s+2)(s+5)}$	$\frac{K(s+3)}{(s+1)(s+2)(s+5)}$	$\frac{K(s+4)}{(s+1)(s+2)(s+5)}$
Dom. poles	$-0.939 \pm j2.151$	$-3 \pm j6.874$	$-2.437 \pm j5.583$	$-1.869 \pm j4.282$
Κ	23.72	51.25	35.34	20.76
ζ	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
$\omega_n$	2.347	7.5	6.091	4.673
%OS	25.38	25.38	25.38	25.38
$T_s$	4.26	1.33	1.64	2.14
$T_p$	1.46	0.46	0.56	0.733
$K_p$	2.372	10.25	10.6	8.304
$e(\infty)$	0.297	0.089	0.086	0.107
Third pole	-6.123	None	-3.127	-4.262
Zero	None	None	-3	-4
Comments	Second-order approx. OK	Pure second- order	Second-order approx. OK	Second-order approx. OK

### **Figure 9.17** Feedback control system for Example 9.3



Root locus for uncompensated system shown in Figure 9.17



# **Table 9.3**Uncompensated and compensated system characteristicsfor Example 9.3

	Uncompensated	Simulation	Compensated	Simulation
Plant and compensator	$\frac{K}{s(s+4)(s+6)}$		$\frac{K(s+3.006)}{s(s+4)(s+6)}$	
Dominant poles	$-1.205 \pm j2.064$		$-3.613 \pm j6.193$	
K	43.35		47.45	
ζ	0.504		0.504	
$\omega_n$	2.39		7.17	
%OS	16	14.8	16	11.8
$T_s$	3.320	3.6	1.107	1.2
$T_p$	1.522	1.7	0.507	0.5
$K_{\nu}$	1.806		5.94	
$e(\infty)$	0.554		0.168	
Third pole	-7.591		-2.775	
Zero	None		-3.006	
Comments	Second-order approx. OK		Pole-zero not canceling	

Compensated dominant pole superimposed over the uncompensated root locus for Example 9.3



**Figure 9.20** Evaluating the location of the compensating zero for Example 9.3



### Figure 9.21 Root locus for the compensated system of Example 9.3



Uncompensated and compensated system step responses of Example 9.3



### Figure 9.23 PD controller



### **Figure 9.24** Geometry of lead compensation



### **Figure 9.25** Three of the infinite possible lead compensator solutions



Lead compensator design, showing evaluation of uncompensated and compensated dominant poles for Example 9.4



	Uncompensated	Compensation a	Compensation b	Compensation c
Plant and compensator	$\frac{K}{s(s+4)(s+6)}$	$\frac{K(s+5)}{s(s+4)(s+6)(s+42.96)}$	$\frac{K(s+4)}{s(s+4)(s+6)(s+20.09)}$	$\frac{K(s+2)}{s(s+4)(s+6)(s+8.971)}$
Dominant poles	$-1.007 \pm j2.627$	$-2.014 \pm j5.252$	$-2.014 \pm j5.252$	$-2.014 \pm j5.252$
Κ	63.21	1423	698.1	345.6
ζ	0.358	0.358	0.358	0.358
$\omega_n$	2.813	5.625	5.625	5.625
% <i>OS</i> *	30 (28)	30 (30.7)	30 (28.2)	30 (14.5)
$T_s^*$	3.972 (4)	1.986 (2)	1.986 (2)	1.986 (1.7)
$T_p^*$	1.196 (1.3)	0.598 (0.6)	0.598 (0.6)	0.598 (0.7)
$K_{v}$	2.634	6.9	5.791	3.21
$e(\infty)$	0.380	0.145	0.173	0.312
Other poles	-7.986	-43.8, -5.134	-22.06	-13.3, -1.642
Zero	None	-5	None	-2
Comments	Second-order approx. OK	Second-order approx. OK	Second-order approx. OK	No pole-zero cancellation

**Table 9.4** Comparison of lead compensation designs for Example 9.4

\* Simulation results are shown in parentheses.

### Table 9.4

Comparison of lead compensation designs for Example 9.4

### Figure 9.27 s-plane picture used to calculate the location of the compensator pole for Example 9.4



X =Closed-loop pole X =Open-loop pole

Note: This figure is not drawn to scale.

### Figure 9.28 Compensated system root locus



Note: This figure is not drawn to scale.

Figure 9.29 Uncompensated system and lead compensation responses for Example 9.4





### **Figure 9.31** Uncompensated feedback control system for Example 9.5



### Figure 9.32 Root locus for the uncompensated system of Example 9.5



 $\mathbf{X} = \text{Open-loop pole}$ 

## Table 9.5Predicted characteristics of uncompensated, PD- , and PID-compensated systems of Example 9.5

	Uncompensated	PD-compensated	PID-compensated
Plant and compensator	$\frac{K(s+8)}{(s+3)(s+6)(s+10)}$	$\frac{K(s+8)(s+55.92)}{(s+3)(s+6)(s+10)}$	$\frac{K(s+8)(s+55.92)(s+0.5)}{(s+3)(s+6)(s+10)s}$
Dominant poles	$-5.415 \pm j10.57$	$-8.13 \pm j15.87$	$-7.516 \pm j14.67$
K	121.5	5.34	4.6
ζ	0.456	0.456	0.456
$\omega_n$	11.88	17.83	16.49
% <i>OS</i>	20	20	20
$T_s$	0.739	0.492	0.532
$T_p$	0.297	0.198	0.214
, K <sub>p</sub>	5.4	13.27	$\infty$
$e(\infty)$	0.156	0.070	0
Other poles	-8.169	-8.079	-8.099, -0.468
Zeros	-8	-8, -55.92	-8, -55.92, -0.5
Comments	Second-order approx. OK	Second-order approx. OK	Zero at $-55.92$ and $-0.5$ not canceled

### **Figure 9.33** Calculating the PD compensator zero for Example 9.5



X = Closed-loop pole

Note: This figure is not drawn to scale.

### **Figure 9.34** Root locus for PD-compensated system of Example 9.5



Note: This figure is not drawn to scale.

Step responses for uncompensated, PD-compensated, and PID-compensated systems of Example 9.5



Figure 9.36 Root locus for PIDcompensated system of Example 9.5



Note: This figure is not drawn to scale.

### **Figure 9.37** Uncompensated system for Example 9.6



### **Figure 9.38** Root locus for uncompensated system of Example 9.6



### Table 9.6

### Predicted characteristics of uncompensated, leadcompensated, and lag-lead- compensated systems of Example 9.6

	Uncompensated	Lead-compensated	Lag-lead-compensated
Plant and compensator	$\frac{K}{s(s+6)(s+10)}$	$\frac{K}{s(s+10)(s+29.1)}$	$\frac{K(s+0.04713)}{s(s+10)(s+29.1)(s+0.01)}$
Dominant poles	$-1.794 \pm j3.501$	$-3.588 \pm j7.003$	$-3.574 \pm j6.976$
K	192.1	1977	1971
ζ	0.456	0.456	0.456
$\omega_n$	3.934	7.869	7.838
%OS	20	20	20
$T_s$	2.230	1.115	1.119
$T_p$	0.897	0.449	0.450
$K_{v}$	3.202	6.794	31.92
$e(\infty)$	0.312	0.147	0.0313
Third pole	-12.41	-31.92	-31.91, -0.0474
Zero	None	None	-0.04713
Comments	Second-order approx. OK	Second-order approx. OK	Second-order approx. OK

Evaluating the compensator pole for Example 9.6



Root locus for lead-compensated system of Example 9.6



Figure 9.41 Root locus for lag-leadcompensated system of Example 9.6



Improvement in step response for lag-leadcompensated system of Example 9.6



### Figure 9.43 Improvement in ramp response error for the system of Example 9.6: a. lead-compensated; b. lag-leadcompensated



a. Root locus
before cascading notch filter;
b. typical
closed-loop
step response
before cascading notch filter;



(continued)
c. pole-zero plot
of a notch filter;
d. root locus after
cascading notch filter;
e. closed-loop step
response after cascading
notch filter.



Function	Compensator	Transfer function	Characteristics
Improve steady-state error	PI	$K\frac{s+z_c}{s}$	<ol> <li>Increases system type.</li> <li>Error becomes zero.</li> <li>Zero at -z<sub>c</sub> is small and negative.</li> <li>Active circuits are required to implement.</li> </ol>
Improve steady-state error	Lag	$K\frac{s+z_c}{s+p_c}$	<ol> <li>Error is improved but not driven to zero.</li> <li>Pole at -p<sub>c</sub> is small and negative.</li> <li>Zero at -z<sub>c</sub> is close to, and to the left of, the pole at -p<sub>c</sub>.</li> <li>Active circuits are not required to implement.</li> </ol>
Improve transient response	PD	$K(s+z_c)$	<ol> <li>Zero at -z<sub>c</sub> is selected to put design point on root locus.</li> <li>Active circuits are required to implement.</li> <li>Can cause noise and saturation; implement with rate feedback or with a pole (lead).</li> </ol>
Improve transient response	Lead	$K\frac{s+z_c}{s+p_c}$	<ol> <li>Zero at -z<sub>c</sub> and pole at -p<sub>c</sub> are selected to put design point on root locus.</li> <li>Pole at -p<sub>c</sub> is more negative than zero at -z<sub>c</sub>.</li> <li>Active circuits are not required to implement.</li> </ol>

#### Table 9.7 Types of cascade compensators

### **Table 9.7**

Types of cascade compensators (continued on next slide)

Function	Compensator	Transfer function	Characteristics
Improve steady-state error and transient response	PID	$K\frac{(s+z_{\text{lag}})(s+z_{\text{lead}})}{s}$	<ol> <li>Lag zero at -z<sub>lag</sub> and pole at origin improve steady-state error.</li> <li>Lead zero at -z<sub>lead</sub> improves transient response.</li> <li>Lag zero at -z<sub>lag</sub> is close to, and to the left of, the origin.</li> <li>Lead zero at -z<sub>lead</sub> is selected to put design point on root locus.</li> </ol>
			<ul><li>5. Active circuits required to implement.</li><li>6. Can cause noise and saturation; implement with rate feedback or with an additional pole.</li></ul>
Improve steady-state error and transient response	Lag-lead	$K\frac{(s+z_{\text{lag}})(s+z_{\text{lead}})}{(s+p_{\text{lag}})(s+p_{\text{lead}})}$	<ol> <li>Lag pole at -p<sub>lag</sub> and lag zero at -z<sub>lag</sub> are used to improve steady-state error.</li> <li>Lead pole at -p<sub>lead</sub> and lead zero at -z<sub>lead</sub> are used to improve transient response.</li> <li>Lag pole at -p<sub>lag</sub> is small and negative.</li> <li>Lag zero at -z<sub>lag</sub> is close to, and to the left of, lag pole at -p<sub>lag</sub>.</li> <li>Lead zero at -z<sub>lead</sub> and lead pole at -p<sub>lead</sub> are selected to put design point on root locus.</li> <li>Lead pole at -p<sub>lead</sub>.</li> <li>Active circuits are not required to implement.</li> </ol>

#### **Table 9.7** Types of cascade compensators

### **Table 9.7**

Types of cascade compensators (continued)

### **Figure 9.45** Generic control system with feedback compensation



A position control system that uses a tachometer as a differentiator in the feedback path. Can you see the similarity between this system and the schematic on the front end papers?



Photo by Mark E. Van Dusen.

- a. Transfer function of a tachometer;
- b. tachometer feedback compensation



**(b)** 

### **Figure 9.48** Equivalent block diagram of Figure 9.45





**(b)** 

R(s)

### Figure 9.49

a. System for Example 9.7;
b. system with rate feedback compensation;
c. equivalent compensated system;
d. equivalent compensated system, showing unity feedback





Control Systems Engineering, Fourth Edition by Norman S. Nise Copyright © 2004 by John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved.

C(s)

 $\frac{K_1}{s(s+5)(s+15)}$ 

 $K_{f}s$ 

### **Figure 9.45** Generic control system with feedback compensation



A position control system that uses a tachometer as a differentiator in the feedback path. Can you see the similarity between this system and the schematic on the front end papers?



Photo by Mark E. Van Dusen.

- a. Transfer function of a tachometer;
- b. tachometer feedback compensation



**(b)** 

### **Figure 9.48** Equivalent block diagram of Figure 9.45





**(b)** 

R(s)

### Figure 9.49

a. System for Example 9.7;
b. system with rate feedback compensation;
c. equivalent compensated system;
d. equivalent compensated system, showing unity feedback





Control Systems Engineering, Fourth Edition by Norman S. Nise Copyright © 2004 by John Wiley & Sons. All rights reserved.

C(s)

 $\frac{K_1}{s(s+5)(s+15)}$ 

 $K_{f}s$